

**FILED**

**JUN 09 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

HONG ZHANG,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-76142

Agency No. A96-072-655

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 5, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges.

To the extent petitioner is requesting that we review the Board of Immigration Appeals' June 21, 2005 decision, we lack jurisdiction to do so because this petition for review was not filed within 30 days of that decision.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-76142

Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss this petition for review in part is granted. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(1); *Sheviakov v. INS*, 237 F.3d 1144 (9th Cir. 2001); *Narayan v. INS*, 105 F.3d 1335 (9th Cir. 1997) (order).

Respondent's motion for summary disposition in part is granted because the remaining question raised by this petition for review is so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard for summary disposition).

**DISMISSED in part and DENIED in part.**